

# Synthesis of a Diterpene Taxoid Skeleton, Bicyclo[9.3.1]pentadecatriene

Satoshi Shibuya and Minoru Isobe\*

Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

Received 5 March 1998; accepted 8 April 1998

Abstract: The synthesis of bicyclo[9.3.1]pentadecatriene skeleton was investigated by employing cyclization reactions using two key precursors bearing acetylene cobalt complex. Cyclization for the synthesis of strained twelve-membered ring was achieved via Nicholas type reaction in reasonable yield. Reductive decomplexation of the dicobalt hexacarbonyl moiety of the cyclization product was achieved with n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH in the presence of a catalytic amount of NBS in 1,4-cyclohexadiene solvent. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### Introduction

Recently several new bicyclic taxoid diterpenoids, 1, 2<sup>1,2</sup> and 3<sup>3,4</sup>, were isolated from the needles of *Taxus chinensis*, or *Taxus canadensis* which have been proposed as the biosynthetic precursor<sup>5</sup> for taxanes. This class of compounds (1, 2 and 3) bearing bicyclo[9,3,1]pentadecatriene have a similar carbon skeleton with Taxol<sup>6</sup> (4), a compound isolated from the western yew tree (*Taxus brevifolia*) in 1971 by Wall and Wani, as a potent against a wide range of tumor cells.

A number of organic chemists have been attracted by the multifunctional and complicated structure of Taxol itself, mode of action and a lack of natural resource. Five total syntheses of Taxol have been achieved<sup>7-13</sup> in the past few years. On the other hand, 10-deacetylbaccatin III is a readily available Taxol precursor isolated from Europian yew tree (*Taxus baccata*), which has been converted to Taxol in semisynthesis fashion by Holton<sup>14</sup> or Ojima<sup>15</sup> et al.

Taxol has complicated tetracyclic skeleton, which includes eight-membered ring, gem-dimethyl groups, fused six-membered ring and bridge head olefin against Bredt's rule. All of these compounds 1, 2, 3 and 4 possess the same A-ring having gem-dimethyl functionality, but the three formers have twelve-membered ring which is not against Bredt's rule, so that these bicyclic compounds have less strain energy than Taxol 4. We describe here a versatile synthesis of a common bicyclo[9.3.1]pentadecane framework of 1, 2 and 3.

$$(CO)_3CO$$

**Scheme 1**. Retrosynthesis of the carbon framework

To construct a bicyclo[9.3.1]pentadecane framework such as 5, two key precursors 6 and 7 were selected for two types of cyclization reactions (route b and c) as shown in Scheme 1. Precursors 6 and 7 were synthesized from three common building blocks; namely, cyclohexenone ring 8 bearing gem-dimethyl group, two terminal acetylenes 9 and 10 having a protected alcohol or a allylsilane, respectively. Those three fragments (8, 9 and 10) were synthesized in short steps in high yields. The acetylene cobalt complex can be used for a carbon-carbon bond formation via the Nicholas reaction 17,18. Moreover, the cobalt complex of these precursors (6 and 7) might be helpful for the two reaction centers to approach to each other due to the six carbonyl ligands sticking outside.

## Synthesis of Three Building Blocks

The synthesis of A-ring moiety 8 was achieved in 6 steps. 1,3-Cyclohexadione 11 was treated with MeI in the presence of  $K_2CO_3$  to give 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexadione 12, then its one of two ketone functionalities was transferred to cyclic acetal. Methyl group was introduced to the resulting mono cyclic acetal 13 to afford  $\alpha$ -methyl ketone 14. The ketone was converted to the vinyl iodide 15 using the method  $^{19,20}$  that Di Grandi et al. reported. A-ring 8 was obtained from the hydrolysis of 15 using THF - AcOH -  $H_2O$  condition.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of A-ring 8

The synthesis of allylic alcohol 9a and 9b were achieved in 4 steps. Methyl vinyl ketone 16 was converted to tert-allylic alcohol 17 on treatment with trimethylsilyl litium acetylide. Trimethylsilyl functionality of 17 was removed using K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, then treated with 10% sulphuric acid to be isomerized<sup>21</sup> to give the cis allylic alcohol. In the case 17 was treated with acid without removing trimethylsilyl group, rearranged product was produced with a trace mixture of trans isomer. The allylic alcohol was protected with TBS and Bz to give 9a and 9b, respectively.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of protected allylic alcohol 9a and 9b

The synthesis of allyltrimethylsilane 10 was achieved in 3 steps. Allylsilane  $19^{22}$  was prepared from 2,3-dibromopropene using TMSLi<sup>23</sup>. Vinyl bromide 19 was coupled with trimethylsilyl acetylene in the presence of palladium catalyst to give the energy 20<sup>24</sup>. Trimethylsilyl functionality of 20 was removed using  $K_2CO_3$  to afford allyltrimethylsilane  $10^{25}$ .

Scheme 4. Synthesis of allyltrimethylsilane 10

### Intermolecular Reaction among Three Building Blocks

Nicholas<sup>26</sup> reported that cobalt complex of propargyl allylic alcohol was reacted with allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> to give the corresponding coupling product as a single *trans* isomer.

Cobalt complex 21a and 21b were reacted with allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> and 22 was obtained as a single *trans* isomer. Concentration of BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> was examined at 0 °C using TBS ether 21a and allyltrimethylsilane. In the case of 20 mM of BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>, the best yield was 74 %.

Scheme 5. Intermolecular Nicholas reaction

Benzoate 21b has better leaving group than TBS ether 21a, however, benzoate 21b was treated with 20 mM of BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> to give 22 in only 22 % yield and recovered 21b in 64 %. Therefore, TBS ether 21a was selected for the following sequences.

Vinyl iodide 8 (A-ring) was coupled with terminal acetylene 9a at 75 °C for 23 h in the presence of palladium catalyst to give the dieneyne 23 in 79 % yield as shown in Scheme 6. The coupling product 23 was further treated with dicobalt octacarbonyl, but the corresponding acetylene dicobalt hexacarbonyl 24<sup>27</sup> could not be obtained. This might be because the bulkiness of the substituents on both sides of acetylene. Therefore, A-ring 28 which has no methyl group at the C-18 position was used in the following sequences for obtaining the cobalt complex of corresponding coupling product.

Scheme 6. Coupling reaction between A-ring and terminal acetylene

Des-methyl A-ring 28 was synthesized as shown in Scheme 7. Following by the method using for A-ring 8, the corresponding hydrazone of 13 was unstable and low yield. Tosyl hydrazone 25 was treated with n-BuLi, then n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnCl to give the vinyl stannane 26. Vinyl stannane 26 was transformed to the corresponding vinyl iodide  $27^{28}$  using  $I_2$  in dry ether. Cyclic acetal of 27 was hydrolyzed to give the des-methyl A-ring 28 in high yield.

Scheme 7. Synthesis of des-methyl A-ring 28

# Cyclization under Basic Conditions (route c)

The cyclization precursor 31 was synthesized in only 3 steps in high yield by using three building blocks. Vinyl iodide 28 was mixed with terminal acetylene 9a at room temperature for 23 h in the presence of palladium catalyst to give the dieneyne 29 in quantitative yield. Dieneyne 29 treated with dicobalt octacarbonyl gave the corresponding acetylene cobalt complex 30. It is possible that 29 is less hinder than 23 at the C-18 position. Cobalt complex 30 was reacted with allyltrimethylsilane 10 in the presence of BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> to afford 31 in 92 %.

**Scheme 8**. Synthesis of cyclization precursor for *route c* 

Cyclization of 31 using basic condition is summarized in Table 1. High dilution condition should be necessary to avoid intermolecular reaction, so that concentration of substrate 31 was investigated using LiN(TMS)<sub>2</sub> as shown in entry 1, 2 and 3. In the case of 10 mM, cyclization product 32 was the best obtained in only 9 %. Another bases and solvents were examined using the same 10 mM concentration, the yield of 32 was not improved. One reason of low yield is instability of precursor 31 and product 32 under basic conditions, so that the bulky and weak base such as magnesium tetramethyl piperidide was used, however, it was not effective.




Table 1. Basic conditions for cyclization

The main reason of unimproved yield is probably that the intermediate conformer 33b is more favor than 33a because of the freedom on its methylene bonds in Figure 1.

Figure 1.

It is well known that oxidized acetylene cobalt complex reproduces its original acetylenic compound. In our case the acetylene cobalt complex 32 was treated with I<sub>2</sub>, its corresponding acetylene 34 was not produced, but 35 was obtained in several % yield instead as shown in Scheme 9. Other oxidants such as Ce(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub> and Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O were employed for decomplexation, however, the corresponding acetylenic compound was not formed. On the contrary, 31 was oxidised with I<sub>2</sub> to yield 35 in 68 %. Therefore, Bisacetylene 34 might be difficult to exist due to the highly ring strain.

Scheme 9. Oxidative decomplexation of acetylene cobalt complex

# Cyclization by means of Intramolecular Nicholas Reaction<sup>29,30</sup> (route b)

The precursor 38 for intramolecular Nicholas reaction was synthesized in high yield using three common building blocks. Magnesium acetylide of 10 added to the ketone of 29 afforded the adduct 36. The tertalcohol of 36 was protected with TBS group so as to produce the mono acetylene cobalt complex in the next step<sup>31</sup>. The TBS ether of tert-alcohol 37 was treated with dicobalt octacarbonyl to give the precursor 38 in 90 %.

**Scheme 10**. Synthesis of cyclization precursor for *route b* 

Intramolecular Nicholas reactions of 38 were examined and are summarized in Table 2. High dilution condition was necessary in order to avoid intermolecular reaction. Both the precursor 38 and the cyclization product 39 were reactive under the acidic condition so that the reaction time should be short to avoid decomposition of cyclization product 39. Compared with the intermolecular reaction in Scheme 8 (from 30 to 31), intramolecular Nicholas cyclizations of 38 using BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> proceeded slowly. Investigation of the reaction temperature and concentration of BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> showed that 4 % yield was the best. The rests were decomposed products and complexed mixture without intermolecular reaction product. Next, protic acids were examined for this cyclization in order to change the reactivity of 38. TsOH and CSA were not effective but TfOH was gave the product 39. The cyclization with TfOH proceeded more smoothly than with BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>. The yield of cyclization with TfOH in only CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was almost the same as the case with BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> as acid. Using toluene as co-solvent in entry 2, the cyclization was immediately completed after addition of TfOH to improve the yield to 30 %. The rests were decomposed products and complex mixture.




Table 2. Summary of the two best acidic conditions for cyclization of TBS ether 38

Another improvement of the reactivity of the cyclization precursor is the selection of good leaving groups for intramolecular Nicholas reaction. Acetyl (in 41) and methyl (in 42) groups were selected instead of TBS ether of 38. These two precursors were synthesized from the same intermediate 37 in 3 steps as shown in Scheme 11. TBS group of 37 was removed by Amberlyst 15E in methanol to give the alcohol 40. The alcohol 40 was protected with acetyl group followed by treatment of dicobalt octacarbonyl to afford the precursor 41 in quantitative yield. The other precursor 42 was synthesized from 40 in 2 steps. The alcohol 40 was protected with methyl group followed by treatment of dicobalt octacarbonyl to afford the precursor 42 in 97 %.

Scheme 11. Synthesis of cyclization precursors; acetate 41 and methyl ether 42

The best cyclization condition of 38 with BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> was applied to 41 and 42. These precursors 41 and 42 were treated with 16 mM of BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> to give the cyclization product 39 in 14 % and 13 %, respectively. Under these conditions intermolecular reaction was observed, so that high dilution condition was employed.

$$(CO)_3CO$$

Scheme 12. Cyclization of acetate 41 and methyl ether 42

When 1 mM of substrate and BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> at 0 °C for 40 min was employed, cyclization yields of 41 and 42 were dramatically improved<sup>32</sup> to 43 % and 41 %, respectively. On the other hand, the best cyclization condition of 38 with TfOH in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> - toluene (10:1) was applied to 41 and 42, however, the yields were less than 20 % because of side-products and complex mixture.

In Scheme 5, benzoate 21b which has a good leaving group showed low reactivity with allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of  $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$  and gave the coupling product in only 22 % yield. On the contrary, TBS ether 30 in Scheme 8 had excellent reactivity with allyltrimethylsilane 10 to afford the product 31 in 92 %. These results suggest that the reactivity for intramolecular Nicholas reaction depends on not only electronic effect of leaving group but also the steric effect. The ground state of this intramolecular cyclization is assumed in Figure 2, bulky acetylene cobalt complex ligands (CO  $\times$ 6) turn outside and the conformation might be fixed because of less freedom than the cyclization intermediate 33 in Figure 1, thus the reaction centers face and approach to each other. This is one of the reason why cyclization of *route c* is better than that of *route b*.

Figure 2.

Decomplexation of acetylene cobalt complex usually undergoes by oxidant such as I<sub>2</sub>. The acetylene cobalt complex 39 in ring system, however, did not react with any oxidants to produce the corresponding acetylenic compound same as another cyclization product 32. This may be because the strain energy will increase if free acetylene in ring system would be generated. Four reductive decomplexation methods<sup>30,33-35</sup> had been tried. The reductive decomplexation using *n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH<sup>35</sup> among those conditions was the most promising for the cyclization product 39, then we further investigated in details. The original condition is heating of a 0.01-0.035M solution in benzene solvent at 65 °C with 10-12 equiv. *n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH for 2 h. When the same condition was employed for 39, the corresponding product 43 was obtained in only low yield due to decomposition of both substrates 39 and 43 by heating.

**Scheme 13**. Reductive decomplexation of *endo*-acetylene dicobalt hexacarbonyl

Hosokawa<sup>35</sup> et al. reported that decomplexation reaction under *n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH proceeds as a radical mechanism, cobalt complex itself may act as an initiator for radical reaction. Therefore, if there is an appropriate radical initiator in the reaction mixture which works at low temperature, the problem of the decomposition by heating might be diminished. The condition of *n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH (3 equiv.) and catalytic amount of NBS at 39 °C for 2 h in 1,4-cyclohexadiene afforded the corresponding *cis* olefin 43 in 41 % yield. In the case of using NBS, the amount of *n*-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH can be reduced to 3 equiv. from 10-12 equiv. NBS might be a good radical initiator<sup>36</sup> and helpful to proceed the decomplexation reaction.

#### Conclusions

We designed two acetylene cobalt complexes as key intermediates and those precursors were synthesized from three common building blocks in few steps. The acetylene cobalt complex can be used for a carbon-carbon bond formation via the Nicholas reaction. Moreover, the cobalt complex of cyclization precursor might help for the reaction centers to face and approach to each other due to its bulkyness. Between two types of reaction conditions, intramolecular Nicholas reaction gave cyclization product in reasonable yield. Acetylene dicobalt octacarbonyl of the cyclization product was decomplexed to afford the bicyclo[9.3.1]pentadecatetraene under newly developed conditions. The synthesis of bicyclo[9.3.1]pentadecatriene skeleton for taxachitienes was achieved in 8 steps in 13 % overall yield.

#### Experimental

General Techniques: Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-8300 spectrophotometer and are reported in wave number (cm<sup>-1</sup>). Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) spectra were recorded on a JEOL EX-270 (270 MHz), a Varian Gemini-2000 (300 MHz) or a BRUKER ARX-400 (400 MHz) spectrometer. The residual protio-deuterio CDCl<sub>3</sub> was referenced at 7.26 ppm for proton spectra. Carbon nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>13</sup>C NMR) spectra were recorded on a JEOL EX-270 (67.9 MHz), a Varian Gemini-2000 (75.4 MHz), a BRUKER ARX-400 (100 MHz) spectrometer. The <sup>13</sup>C signal of CDCl<sub>3</sub> was referenced at 77.0 ppm. Low-resolution mass spectra (EI, FAB) were recorded on a JEOL DX-300 spectrometer, a JEOL DX-705L or a JEOL JMS-700 spectrometer. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a JEOL DX-705L or a JEOL JMS-700 spectrometer and are reported in m/z.

Preparation of cis-3-Methylpent-2-en-4-yn-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether 9a from cis-3-Methylpent-2-en-4-yn-1-ol

cis-3-Methylpent-2-en-4-yn-1-ol (26.91 g, 0.280 mol) was dissolved in DMF (300 mL) and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. To this solution, imidazole (53.4 g, 0.784 mol) and TBDMSCl (97 % purity, 56.6 g, 0.364 mol) were subsequently added. After stirring for 2 h, the mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 21 h. The reaction mixture was treated with ice water and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (200 mL × 3). The combined organic layers were washed with water (700 mL × 3), acidic water (H<sub>2</sub>O 600 mL + 3N HCl 20 mL) and brine (700 mL × 1), passed through a column containing sodium sulfate and a small amount of silica gel, and then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by distillation to give the cis-3-Methylpent-2-en-4-yn-1-TBS ether 9a (54.8 g, 93 %). b.p. 41-42 °C / 0.8 mmHg. IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  3311, 2956, 2930, 2887, 2858 1256 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 0.08 (6H, s, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.90 (9H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.87 (3H, q, J = 1.1 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.14 (1H, br s, C=CH), 4.38 (2H, dq, J = 6.1, 1.1 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.86 (1H, tq, J = 6.1, 0.9 Hz, C=CH-CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.4 MHz) δ -5.3, 18.3, 22.8, 25.9, 62.1, 81.8, 82.0, 117.7, 138.7. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>22</sub>OSi: C, 68.51; H, 10.54. Found C, 68.59; H, 10.53.

# Preparation of cis-3-Methylpent-2-en-4-yn-1-benzoate 9b from cis-3-Methylpent-2-en-4-yn-1-ol

cis-3-Methylpent-2-en-4-yn-1-ol (4.35 g, 45.2 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (70 mL) and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. To this solution, pyridine (18 mL, 0.223 mol) and BzCl (7.9 mL, 68.1 mmol) were subsequently added. After stirring for 2 h, the mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 16 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with several pieces of ice and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL ×3). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride(200 mL), dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and then evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 300 g, hexane : ether = 30 : 1) to give cis-3-Methylpent-2-en-4-yn-1-benzoate 9b (8.63 g, 95 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  3295, 3064, 2980, 2954, 2923, 2884, 1717 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  1.95 (3H, br s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.25 (1H, s, C=CH), 5.04 (2H, br d, J = 7.0 Hz, C=CH-CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.01 (1H, br t, J = 7.0 Hz, C=CH-CH<sub>2</sub>), 7.42 (2H, td, J = 7.5, 0.5 Hz, aromatic), 7.56 (1H, tm, J = 7.5 Hz, aromatic), 8.06 (2H, dd, J = 7.5, 0.5 Hz, aromatic). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.4 MHz)  $\delta$  22.9, 63.3, 81.4, 83.0, 122.4, 128.4, 129.7, 130.3, 132.3, 133.0, 166.6. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 77.98; H, 6.04. Found C, 78.08; H, 6.07.

# Preparation of 2-(trimethylsilylmethyl)but-1-en-3-yne 10 from 2-bromo-3-trimethylsilyl-1-ene 19

Palladium(II) acetate (24.8 mg, 0.110 mmol), triphenylphosphine (58.0 mg, 0.221 mmol) and copper(I) iodide (42.1 mg, 0.221 mmol) were placed in a dry 30 mL two-neck flask and the atmosphere was replaced with argon, then THF (8 mL) was added. To this flask, 2-bromo-3-trimethylsilyl-1-ene **19** (427 mg, 2.21 mmol), trimethylsilyl acetylene (0.62 mL, 4.39 mmol) and n-BuNH<sub>2</sub> (0.66 mL, 6.68 mmol) were added respectively. After stirring at room temperature for 1 h, the reaction mixture was poured into an ice-cold saturated aqueous ammonium chloride(20 mL), extracted with ether (10 mL ×3). The combined organic layers were washed with water (30 mL ×2) and brine (30 mL ×1). The extract was dried by passing through a column containing anhydrous sulfate and a small amount of silica gel, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The combined residues were purified by column chromatography to give 4-trimethylsilyl-2-(trimethylsilylmethyl)but-1-en-3-yne **20** (441 mg, 95 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  2959, 2899, 2146, 1597, 1419 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.07 (9H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.17 (9H, s, C=CSi(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.67 (2H, d, J = 1.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 5.03 (1H, dt, J = 2.1, 1.0 Hz, C=CHH), 5.23 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, C=CHH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  -1.6, -0.1, 28.2, 93.0, 107.4, 119.7, 128.9. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>: C, 62.78; H, 10.54. Found C, 62.80; H, 10.49.

4-Trimethylsilyl-2-(trimethylsilylmethyl)but-1-en-3-yne 20 (14.7 g, 69.8 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (30 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. Potassium carbonate (9.65 g, 69.8 mmol) was added to this solution. After stirring 4 h, the reaction mixture was passed through a short column of silica gel to remove potassium carbonate that was suspended and the column was washed with pentane, which was poured into an ice-cold saturated aqueous ammonium chloride. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, then passed through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and silica gel. After evaporation, the residue was purified by distillation under reduced pressure to give 2-(trimethylsilylmethyl)but-1-en-3-yne 10 (8.94 g, 93 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.07 (9H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.68 (2H, br s, CH<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 2.85 (1H, d, J = 0.9 Hz, C=CHH), 5.08 (1H, m, C=CHH), 5.28 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, C=CHH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.4 MHz)  $\delta$  -1.8, 27.9, 76.1, 85.8, 120.5, 128.1.

Preparation of acetylene cobalt complex 21a from cis-3-Methylpent-2-en-4-yn-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether 9a (404 mg, 1.92 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL), Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> (788 mg, 2.30 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) was added. After stirring for 5 h at room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 30 g, only hexane) to give the product 21a (840 mg, 88 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{\text{max}}$  2931, 2859, 2094, 2053, 2022 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 270 MHz) δ 0.08 (6H, s, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.91 (9H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 2.08 (2H, q, J = 1.5 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.35 (2H, dq, J = 5.9, 1.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.78 (1H, tq, J = 5.9, 1.5 Hz, C=CH-CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.18 (1H, br s, C≡CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 67.9 MHz) δ -5.2, 18.4, 25.2, 25.9, 61.5, 73.8, 85.6, 130.1, 132.4, 199.5. MS (EI) m/z 496 (M+), 468 (M+-CO), 440 (M+-2CO), 412 (M+-3CO), 384 (M+-4CO), 356 (M+-5CO), 328 (M+-6CO). HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Si (M-CO) 467.9849, found 467.9836.

Preparation of acetylene cobalt complex 21b from cis-3-Methylpent-2-en-4-yn-1-benzoate 9b

To a solution of *cis*-3-Methylpent-2-en-4-yn-1-benzoate **9b** (514 mg, 2.57 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL),  $Co_2(CO)_8$  (1.08 g, 3.16 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) was added. After stirring for 5 h at room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 60 g, hexane : ether = 30 : 1) to give the product **21b** (1.13 g, 91 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  2.16 (3H, dt, J = 1.5, 1.1 Hz,  $C(CH_3)$ =CH), 5.00 (2H, dq, J = 6.9, 1.1 Hz,  $C(CH_3)$ =CH $CH_2O$ ), 5.95 (1H, tq, J = 6.9, 1.5 Hz,  $C(CH_3)$ =CH), 6.37 (1H, s, CH), 7.39-7.48 (4H, m, aromatic), 7.52-7.60 (1H, m, aromatic), 8.01-8.07 (2H, m, aromatic). MS (EI) m/z 458 (M+-CO), 430 (M+-2CO), 402 (M+-3CO), 374 (M+-4CO), 346 (M+-5CO), 318 (M+-6CO). HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{18}H_{12}Co_2O_7$ : (M+-CO) 457.9247, found 457.9225.

Synthesis of 22 via intermolecular Nicholas reaction between cobalt complex 21a and allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of boron trifluoride diethyl etherate

Cobalt complex **21a** (32 mg, 0.064 mmol) and allyltrimethylsilane (10  $\mu$ L, 0.063 mmol) were dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL) and the mixture was cooled to -78 ° C. To this solution, BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> (8  $\mu$ L, 0.065 mmol) was added dropwise, then the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C. After stirring for 35 min, the mixture was poured into an ice-cold saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and then extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (× 3). The combined organic layers were passed through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and silica gel, and evaporated. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (hexane : ether = 20 : 1) to give the product **22** (19 mg, 74 %). IR (KBr)  $v_{max}$  2928, 2092, 2051, 2018 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 270 MHz)  $\delta$  0.08 (6H, s, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.91 (9H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 2.08 (2H, q, J = 1.5 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.35 (2H, dq, J = 5.9, 1.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.78 (1H, tq, J = 5.9, 1.5 Hz, C=CH-CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.18 (1H, br s, C=CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  18.6, 28.4, 33.2, 73.1, 96.2, 115.2, 131.5, 133.7, 137.7, 200.0. MS (EI) m/z 406 (M<sup>+</sup>), 378 (M<sup>+</sup>-CO), 350 (M<sup>+</sup>-CO),

2CO), 322 (M+-3CO), 294 (M+-4CO), 266 (M+-5CO), 238 (M+-6CO). HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{12}Co_2O_6$  405.9298, found 405.9288.

Synthesis of 22 via intermolecular Nicholas reaction between cobalt complex 21a and allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid

Cobalt complex 21a (34 mg, 0.068 mmol) and allyltrimethylsilane (16  $\mu$ L, 0.100 mmol) were dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. Trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (3  $\mu$ L, 0.034 mmol) was added dropwise to this solution. After stirring at 0 °C for 45 min, the reaction mixture was poured into an ice-cold saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and then extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (×3). The combined organic layer was passed through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and silica gel, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (hexane: ether = 20:1) to give the product 22 (21 mg, 77 %).

Synthesis of 22 via intermolecular Nicholas reaction between cobalt complex 21b and allyltrimethylsilane in the presence of boron trifluoride diethyl etherate

Cobalt complex 21b (55 mg, 0.11 mmol) and allyltrimethylsilane (18  $\mu$ L, 0.11 mmol) were dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> (14  $\mu$ L, 0.11 mmol) was added dropwise to this solution. After stirring for 1 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with an ice-cold saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (4 mL), and then extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (×3). The combined organic layers were passed through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and silica gel, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (hexane : ether = 2 : 1) to give the product 22 (10 mg, 22 %) and the recovered cobalt complex 21b (35 mg, 64 %).

Synthesis of dieneyne 23 from 3-iodo-2,2,4-trimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-one 8 and cis-3-Methylpent-2-en-4-yn-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether 9a

Palladium(II) acetate (43 mg, 0.19 mmol), triphenylphosphine (100 mg, 0.38 mmol), and copper(I) iodide (73 mg, 0.38 mmol) were placed in a dry 50 mL two neck flask and benzene (10 mL) was added under argon atmosphere. To this suspension, 3-iodo-2,2,4-trimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-one 8 (1.01 g, 3.82 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) was added, followed by cis-3-Methylpent-2-en-4-yn-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether 9a (1.45 g, 6.89 mmol) in benzene (8 mL). The whole mixture was degassed twice, then n-BuNH<sub>2</sub> (1.1 mL, 11.1 mmol) was added. After stirring at 75 °C for 1 day, the mixture was quenched with an ice-cold saturated aqueous ammonium chloride(30 mL), and extracted with ether (×4). The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine. The extract was dried by passing through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and silica gel, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 120 g, hexane : ether = 20 : 1) to give the dieneyne 23 (1.05 g, 79 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  2957, 2930, 2857, 1720 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 0.07 (6H, s, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.89 (9H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.28  $(6H,s, C(CH_3)_2), 1.91 (3H, d, J = 1.5 Hz, C(CH_3) = CHCH_2), 1.98 (3H, s, CH_2C(CH_3) = C), 2.47 - 2.61 (4H, s)$ m,  $CH_2 \times 2$ ), 4.41 (2H, dd, J = 6.5, 1.2 Hz,  $C(CH_3) = CHCH_2$ ), 5.77 (1H, td, J = 6.5, 1.5 Hz,  $C(CH_3)=CHCH_2$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  -5.1, 18.4, 22.3, 23.2, 25.4, 25.9, 31.2, 35.5, 46.5, 62.5, 91.7, 92.1, 118.8, 123.6, 136.0, 139.9, 213.5. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si: C, 72.78; H, 9.89. Found C, 72.87; H, 9.95.

Synthesis of 3-iodo-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-one 28 from 2,2-dimethylcyclohexan-1,3-dione

2,2-Dimethylcyclohexan-1,3-dione 12 (90 % purity, 28.33 g, 0.182 mol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (450 mL). To this solution, triethylorthoformate (21.1 mL, 0.127 mol), ethylene glycol (15.2 mL, 0.272 mol) were added. After cooling to 0 °C, *DL*-CSA (4.22 g, 18.2 mmol) was added and stirred for 15 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for a further 3 days, then quenched with ice-cold

saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (150 mL) and ice-cold water (50 mL) and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (200 mL ×2). The extracts were dried over sodium sulfate and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 300 g, hexane: ether = 5:1) to give 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexanone mono ethylene acetal 13 (27.8 g, 83 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 270 MHz)  $\delta$  1.09 (6H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.73 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.80-1.90 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.37 (2H, t, J = 6.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.89 (4H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>×2). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  19.1, 19.8, 29.6, 36.3, 55.1, 65.3, 113.2, 212.8. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 65.19; H, 8.75. Found C, 65.19; H, 8.87.

2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexanone mono ethylene acetal **13** (10.0 g, 54.3 mmol) in MeOH (150 mL), p-toluenesulfonyl hydrazide (97 %, 13.0 g, 67.7 mmol) was added. After stirring at room temperature for 24 h, solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The resulting residue was washed with ether to give 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexanone mono ethylene acetal p-toluenesulfonyl hydrazone **25** (16.6 g, 87 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  1.07 (6H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.56-1.68 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.68-1.78 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.25 (2H, t, J = 6.7 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.42 (3H, s, PhCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.89 (4H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>×2), 7.30 (2H, dd, J = 8.1, 0.9 Hz, aromatic), 7.40 (1H, br, NH), 7.84 (2H, br d, J = 8.1, aromatic). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.4 MHz)  $\delta$  19.9, 21.3, 21.5, 21.7, 48.7, 65.3, 112.6, 128.3, 129.3, 135.5, 143.8, 165.3.

2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexanone mono ethylene acetal p-toluenesulfonyl hydrazone **25** (10.69 g, 30.33 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (60 mL) and dry TMEDA (12 mL) and cooled to -78 °C. To this suspension, n-BuLi (1.24 M in hexane, 74 mL, 91 mmol) was added dropwise over 15 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 4 °C by means of ice-water bath. After stirring for 1 h, the mixture was cooled to -78 °C. n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnCl (95 %, 19 mL, 46 mmol) was added one portion and allowed to warm to 10 °C. After stirring at 10 °C for 30 min, one piece of ice was added to the reaction mixture, then which was poured into ice-cold water (80 mL). To the mixture, potassium sodium tartrate solution was added and stirred for 15 min, then extracted with ether (×2). The combined organic layers were washed with aqueous CuSO<sub>4</sub> (×5), water (×3) and brine (×1), passed through a column containing sodium sulfate and silica gel and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 230 g, hexane : ether = 20 : 1) to give 3-tributylstannane-2,2-trimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-ethylene acetal **26** (9.37 g, 68 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.89 (9H, t, J = 7.5 Hz, Sn((CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.05 (6H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.22-1.56 (18H, m, Sn((CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.76 (2H, t, J = 6.5 Hz, C=CHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.29 (2H, td, J = 6.5, 3.5 Hz, C=CHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.97 (4H, m, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 5.65 (1H, t, J = 3.5 Hz, C=CHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.4 MHz)  $\delta$  10.9, 13.5, 25.7, 26.6, 27.4, 27.5, 29.0, 44.4, 64.9, 112.7, 134.1, 151.2.

3-Tributylstannane-2,2-trimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-ethylene acetal **26** (930 mg, 2.03 mmol) was dissolved in dry ether (15 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. I<sub>2</sub> (850 mg, 3.35 mmol) in ether (5 mL) was added dropwise to this solution. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 20 min, then treated with an ice-cold saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (10 mL). To this mixture, saturated aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> was added until the color of solution became yellow. The organic layer was washed with 10 % aqueous KF (×1), water (×2) and brine (×1), then passed through a column containing sodium sulfate and a small amount of silica gel and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 15 g, hexane : ether = 20 : 1) to give 3-iodo-2,2-trimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-ethylene acetal **27** (393 mg, 66 %). IR (KBr)  $v_{max}$  2978, 2957, 2939, 2881, 1465 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  1.14 (6H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.81 (2H, t, J = 6.7 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.18 (2H, td, J = 6.7, 4.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.00 (4H, s, OCH<sub>2</sub>×2), 6.33 (1H, t, J = 4.0 Hz, C=CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.4 MHz)  $\delta$  25.6, 26.9, 27.9, 47.4, 65.1, 109.9, 112.9, 136.7. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>15</sub>IO<sub>2</sub>: C, 40.84; H, 5.14. Found C,40.84; H,5.19.

3-Iodo-2,2-trimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-ethylene acetal 27 (6.65 g, 22.6 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of THF (30 mL) and 3N HCl (30 mL) followed by being heated at 90 °C for 4 h. After cooling to room temperature, ether (60 mL) was added and washed with water (100 mL ×4) and brine (100 mL ×1). The organic layer was passed through a column containing sodium sulfate and a small amount of silica gel, then concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 85 g, hexane: ether = 20: 1) to give 3-iodo-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-one 28 (5.45 g, 97 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{\text{max}}$  2970, 2929, 2844, 1716 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  1.26 (6H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.40 (2H, td, J = 6.8, 4.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.62 (2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.51 (1H, t, J = 4.5 Hz, C=CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  27.2, 28.5, 35.7, 52.1, 111.7, 136.8, 208.3. MS (EI) m/z 250 (M+). HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>11</sub>IO: 249.9854, found 249.9846. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>11</sub>IO: C, 38.42; H, 4.43. Found C, 38.44; H, 4.20.

Synthesis of 3-(5-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-one 29 from A-ring 28 and 9a

Palladium(II) acetate (166 mg, 0.739 mmol), triphenylphosphine (387 mg, 1.48 mmol), and copper(I) iodide (281 mg, 1.48 mmol) were placed in a dry 100 mL two neck flask and benzene (35 mL) was added under argon atmosphere. To this suspension, 3-iodo-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-one 28 (3.69 g, 14.8 mmol) in benzene (15 mL) was added, followed by terminal acetylene 2 (6.20 g, 29.5 mmol) in benzene (9 mL). The whole mixture was degassed twice, then n-BuNH<sub>2</sub> (4.4 mL, 44.5 mmol) was added. After stirring at rt for 1 day, the mixture was quenched with ice-cold saturated aqueous ammonium chloride(80 mL), and extracted with ether (x3). The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine. The extract was dried by passing through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and silica gel, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 200 g, hexane : ether = 15: 1 to 10:1) to give 3-(5-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1one **29** (4.87 g, 99 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{\text{max}}$  2958, 2930, 2893, 2857, 1717 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.08 (6H, s, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.91 (9H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.31 (6H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.90 (3H, d, J = 1.5 Hz,  $C(CH_3)=CHCH_2$ ), 2.46-2.62 (4H, m,  $CH_2 \times 2$ ), 4.39 (2H, dd, J=6.5, 1.5 Hz,  $C=CHCH_2$ ), 5.79 (1H, td, J=6.5), 1.5 Hz,  $C=CHCH_2$ ), 1.70 (1H, td, J=6.5), 1.70 = 6,5, 1.5 Hz, (CH<sub>3</sub>)C=CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.19 (1H, t, J = 4.5 Hz, C=CHCH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.4 MHz)  $\delta$ -5.1, 18.4, 23.1, 25.1, 25.5, 26.0 35.4, 47.1, 62.4, 87.7, 92.1, 118.6, 129.9, 132.3, 136.6, 213.0. MS (EI) m/z 332 (M<sup>+</sup>), 317 (M<sup>+</sup>-15). HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{20}H_{32}O_2Si$ : 332.2172, found 332.2158. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si: C, 72.23; H, 9.70. Found C, 72.10; H, 9.91.

Synthesis of acetylene cobalt complex **30** from 3-(5-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-one **29** 

To a solution of 3-(5-*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ether-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-one **29** (1.16 g, 3.49 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL),  $Co_2(CO)_8$  (1.55 g, 4.53 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) was added. After stirring at room temperature for 6 h, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 110 g, hexane : ether = 20 : 1) to give the cobalt complex **30** (2.00 g, 93 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  2955, 2931, 2888, 2859, 2088, 2051, 2025, 1718 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.02 (6H, s, Si*t*-Bu(C*H*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.87 (9H, s, SiC(C*H*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.32 (6H, s, C(C*H*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.11 (3H, br s, C(C*H*<sub>3</sub>)=CH), 2.60 (4H, m, C*H*<sub>2</sub> ×2), 4.10 (2H, br d, C=CHC*H*<sub>2</sub>OTBS), 5.71 (1H, br t, *J* = 5.5 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=C*H*), 6.36 (1H, br t, *J* = 4.1 Hz, C=C*H*CH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  -5.4, 18.4, 24.9, 25.2, 25.9, 27.5, 34.7, 49.3, 61.7, 91.3, 94.3, 130.8, 131.7, 142.7, 199.3, 199.4, 199.6, 212.8.

Synthesis of cyclization precursor 31 from acetylene cobalt complex 30 and allyltrimethylsilane10

Cobalt complex 30 (1.44 g, 2.33 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL) and the mixture was cooled to -78 °C, subsequently 2-(trimethylsilylmethyl)but-1-en-3-yne 10 (711 mg, 5.14 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 mL) was added. To this solution, BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> (0.57 mL, 4.6 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mL) was added dropwise and then the mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C. After stirring for 30 min, the reaction mixture was treated with an icecold saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL), and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (×3). The combined organic layers were passed through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and a small amount of silica gel, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 35 g, hexane : ether = 10:1) to give cyclization precursor 31 (1.18 g, 92 %). IR (KBr)  $v_{max}$  3310, 2977, 2930, 2850, 2086, 2046, 2018, 1717 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  1.32 (6H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.98 (3H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.30 (2H, t, J = 7.1 Hz  $CH_2C(C \equiv CH) = CH_2$ ), 2.44 (2H, dt, J = 7.1, 7.0 Hz,  $C(CH_3) = CHCH_2$ ), 2.50-2.66 (4H, m, C=CHC $H_2$ CH $_2$ C=O), 2.90 (1H, s, C=CH), 5.29 (1H, br s, C(C=CH)=CHH), 5.42 (1H, br s, C(C = CH) = CHH), 5.76 (1H, br t, J = 7.0 Hz,  $C(CH_3) = CHCH_2$ ), 6.42 (1H, t, J = 4.0 Hz, C=CHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C=O). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 67.9 MHz) & 19.6, 25.0, 25.1, 25.5, 27.2, 34.7, 36.5, 49.1, 77.2, 83.7, 92.6, 102.7, 123.6, 129.6, 131.5, 131.8, 133.7, 143.0, 199.7, 199.9, 213.5. MS (EI) m/z 552 (M+), 378 (M+-CO), 350 (M+-2CO), 322 (M+-3CO), 294 (M+-4CO), 266 (M+-5CO), 238 (M+-6CO). HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: 552.0029, found 552.0018.

Synthesis of 3-(7-ethynyl-3-methylocta-3,7-dien-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-one 35 from acetylene cobalt complex 31

Acetylene cobalt complex **31** (155 mg, 0.281 mmol) was dissolved in THF (6 mL) and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C. To this solution,  $I_2$  (714 mg, 2.81 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) was added. After stirring for 4 h, the reaction mixture was treated with a mixture of ice-cold saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (3 mL) and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> (3 mL), and extracted with ether (×3). The combined organic layers were washed with water (×3), brine (×1) and then passed through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and silica gel, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (hexane: ether = 5:1) to give 3-(7-ethynyl-3-methylocta-3,7-dien-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-one **35** (51 mg, 68 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  1.29 (6H, s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.82 (3H, dt, J = 1.5, 0.9 Hz,  $C(CH_3)$ =CH), 2.24 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 2.36 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 2.44-2.52 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 2.52-2.60 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 2.90 (1H, s, C=CH), 5.32 (1H, m, C=CHH), 5.45 (1H, m, C=CHH), 5.83 (1H, tq, J = 7.2, 1.5 Hz,  $C(CH_3)$ =CH), 6.15 (1H, t, J = 4.5 Hz, C=CHCH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.4 MHz)  $\delta$  17.2, 24.9, 25.3, 27.0, 35.4, 36.2, 47.2, 65.0, 77.2, 83.7, 84.1, 92.3, 118.7, 123.3, 129.9, 130.2, 131.4, 136.4, 213.3. MS (FAB) m/z 267 (M++H) HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{19}H_{22}O$ : 266.1671, found 266.1750.

# Synthesis of cyclization product 32 from cyclization preursor 31

Cobalt complex **31** (158 mg, 0.286 mmol) was dissolved in THF (29 mL). To this solution, LiN(TMS)<sub>2</sub> (1.0 M, 0.43 mL, 0.43 mmol) was added dropwise. After stirring for 30 min, the reaction mixture was treated with an ice-cold saturated aqueous ammonium chloride(20 mL), and then extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (×3). The combined organic layers were washed with water (×2) and brine (×1), then passed through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and a small amount of silica gel, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (hexane: ether = 20: 1 to 5: 1) to give the cyclization product **32** (14 mg, 9 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  3430, 2929, 2856, 2085, 2046, 2016, 1722, 1442 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  1.26 (3H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.33 (3H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.95-2.10 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.98 (3H, t, J = 1.5 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CH), 2.04 (1H, s, OH), 2.16-2.26 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.36-2.58 (4H, m, CH<sub>2</sub> ×2), 5.31 (1H, dd, J = 1.9, 0.9 Hz, C=CHH), 5.38 (1H, d, J = 1.9 Hz, C=CHH), 5.94 (1H, t, J = 4.0 Hz, C=CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.58 (1H, ddq, J = 10.5, 4.1, 1.5 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CHCH<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  16.7, 20.3, 24.8, 25.9,

30.0, 30.5, 37.0, 45.8, 73.5, 86.4, 93.3, 95.2, 103.5, 123.7, 129.1, 130.6, 131.0, 131.1, 142.9, 199.9, 200.7. MS (EI) m/z 552 (M+), 524 (M+-CO), 496 (M+-2CO), 468 (M+-3CO), 440 (M+-4CO), 412 (M+-5CO), 384 (M+-6CO). HRMS (EI) calcd for  $C_{25}H_{22}Co_2O_7$ : 552.0029, found 552.0016.

Synthesis of 1-(3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-ol 36 from ketone 29 and allyltrimethylsilane 10

2-(Trimethylsilylmethyl)but-1-en-3-yne 10 (5.37 g, 38.8 mmol) was dissolved in THF (40 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. To this solution ethylmagnesium bromide (3.0 M in diethyl ether, 12.5 mL, 37.5 mmol) was added dropwise over 5 min. After stirring at 0 °C for 1.5 h, 3-(5-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether-3-methylpent-3-en-1ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-one 29 (4.30 g, 12.9 mmol) in THF (13 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 45 min and then allowed to warm to room temperature. After stirring for 20 min., the reaction mixture was poured into an ice-cold saturated aqueous ammonium chloride(50 mL), and then extracted with ether (50 mL ×3). The combined organic layers were washed with water (250 mL ×2) and brine (250 mL ×1), passed through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and a small amount of silica gel, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 130 g, hexane: ether = 20:1 to 5:1) to give 1-(3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether-3methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-ol 36 (5.70 g, 94 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  3463, 2956, 2930, 2896, 2858, 2189 cm<sup>-1</sup>.  $^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.06 (6H, s, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.08 (6H, s,  $SiC(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ ), 0.90 (9H, s,  $SiC(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.28 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.31 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.66 (2H, br s,  $CH_2Si(CH_3)_3$ ), 1.87 (3H, br d, J = 1.2 Hz,  $C(CH_3) = CH$ ), 1.94-2.04 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 2.29 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 4.38 (2H, dbr d, J = 6.4, 1.2 Hz,  $C(CH_3) = CHCH_2$ ), 5.01 (1H, m, C = CHH), 5.18 (1H, d, J = 1.9) Hz, C=CHH), 5.74 (1H, tq, J = 6.4, 1.5 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CH), 6.01 (1H, t, J = 4.0 Hz, C=CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.4 MHz) δ -5.2, -1.8, 18.2, 22.6, 23.0, 23.3, 25.9, 28.0, 31.3, 41.8, 62.4, 72.6, 86.7, 87.2, 89.9, 93.8, 118.9, 119.2, 128.2, 128.3, 132.7, 135.9. MS (EI) m/z 470 (M+), 455 (M+-15). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>46</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>: C, 71.43; H, 9.85. Found C, 71.44; H, 10.05.

Synthesis of 1-(3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether 37 from tert-alcohaol 36

1-(3-(Trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-ol 36 (920 mg, 1.95 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 mL) and cooled to -78 °C. To this solution, 2,6-lutidine (1.0 mL, 8.59 mmol) and TBDMSOTf (0.34 mL, 1.5 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) were added dropwise over 3 min. The mixture was allowed to warm to 4 °C. After stirring for 15 h, the reaction mixture was poured into an ice-cold water and extracted with CH2Cl2 (×3). The combined extracts were washed with 1N HCl and passed through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and a small amount of silica gel, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 30 g, hexane: ether = 20:1 to 5:1) to give 1-(3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-tert-butyldimethylsilyl) to give 1-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-(trimether-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether 37 (880 mg, 77 %) and the recovered 36 (214 mg, 23 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{\text{max}}$  2956, 2929, 2896, 2857 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.06 (6H, s, Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.08 (6H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.19 (3H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.22 (3H, s,  $SiC(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.20 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.26 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.65 (2H, d, J = 1.0 Hz,  $CH_2Si(CH_3)_3$ ), 1.88 (3H, dt, J = 1.5, 1.2 Hz,  $C(CH_3) = CH$ ), 1.93 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 2.24 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 4.39 (2H, dbr d, J = 6.5, 1.2 Hz,  $C(CH_3) = CHCH_2$ ), 5.00 (1H, dt, J = 2.0, 1.0 Hz, C = CHH), 5.17 (1H, d, J = 2.0), 5.10 Hz, C = CHH), 5.17 (1H, d, J = 2.0), 1.0 Hz, C = CHH), 1.17 (1H, d, J = 2.0), 1.0 Hz, C = CHH), 1.17 (1H, d, J = 2.0), 1.0 Hz, C = CHH), 1.1 Hz, C = CHH), 1.0 Hz, C = CHH), 1.0 Hz, C = CHH), 1.0 Hz, 2.0 Hz, C=CHH), 5.72 (1H, tq, J = 6.5, 1.5 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CH), 5.94 (1H, t, J = 4.0 Hz, C=CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(CDCl_3,\ 67.9\ MHz)\ \delta\ -5.1,\ -3.1,\ -3.0,\ -1.6,\ 18.4\ (\times 2),\ 23.2,\ 23.6,\ 23.9,\ 25.4,\ 25.9,\ 26.0,\ 27.8,\ 32.3,\ 43.0,\ 32.3,\ 43.0,\ 43.$ 62.5, 73.9, 86.2, 88.0, 90.4, 94.5, 118.7, 119.1, 128.6, 132.4, 135.5. MS (FAB) m/z 453 (M+H-TBSOH). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{34}H_{60}O_2Si_3$ : C, 69.80; H, 10.34. Found C, 69.79; H, 10.39.

# Synthesis of acetylene cobalt complex 38 from 37

To a solution of 1-(3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether 37 (1.24 g, 2.12 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL), Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> (1.56 g, 4.56 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) was added. After stirring at room temperature for 14 h, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 10 g, hexane) to give the product 38 (1.65 g, 90 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  2957, 2930, 2897, 2858, 2086, 2049, 2024, 1251 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.06 (6H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.20 (3H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.22 (3H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.87 (9H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.90 (9H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.27 (3H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.30 (3H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.63 (2H, br, CH<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 2.00 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.09 (3H, dt, J = 1.2, 1.0 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CH), 2.30 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.29 (2H, dq, J = 5.8, 1.1 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.99 (1H, dt, J = 2.0, 1.0 Hz, C=CHH), 5.15 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, C=CHH), 5.70 (1H, tq, J = 5.8, 1.2 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CH), 6.13 (1H, t, J = 4.5 Hz, C=CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  -5.2, -3.1, -3.0, -1.6, 18.3, 18.4, 23.6, 24.6, 24.8, 25.9 (×2), 27.6, 28.6, 32.0, 45.0, 61.4, 75.0, 88.1, 90.4, 93.0, 94.8, 118.8, 128.5, 131.0, 131.9, 132.2, 140.7, 200.0.

Synthesis of cyclization product 39 from 38 in the presence of boron trifluoride diethyl etherate

Cobalt complex 38 (22 mg, 0.025 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.5 mL) and the mixture was cooled to -78 °C. To this solution, BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> (3.0 μL, 0.024 mmol) was added one portion, then the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C. After stirring for 30 min, the reaction mixture was treated with an ice-cold saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL), and then extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (×2). The combined organic layers were passed through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and a small amount of silica gel, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (hexane) to give the product 39 (0.7 mg, 4 %). IR (KBr, film) ν<sub>max</sub> 2954, 2928, 2858, 2085, 2046, 2021, 1250 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 0.16 (3H, s, t-BuSi( $CH_3$ )<sub>2</sub>), 0.20 (3H, s, t-BuSi( $CH_3$ )<sub>2</sub>), 0.89 (9H, s, Si{ $C(CH_3)_3$ }( $CH_3$ )<sub>2</sub>), 1.21 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.26 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.90 (1H, dbr d, J = 13.5, 7.5 Hz,  $CH_2$ ), 1.97 (3H, t, J = 1.5 Hz,  $C(CH_3)=CH$ ), 2.02-2.22 (3H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 2.30-2.55 (4H, m,  $CH_2 \times 2$ ), 5.28 (1H, dd, J=2.0, 1.0 Hz, C=CHH), 5.34 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, C=CHH), 5.90 (1H, t, J = 3.9 Hz, C=CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.60 (1H, ddq, J = 3.9 Hz, C=CHCH<sub>2</sub>) 10.5, 4.3, 1.5 Hz,  $C(CH_3)=CHCH_2$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  -3.4, -3.0, -1.6, 16.6, 18.2, 20.8, 24.9, 25.8, 26.3, 30.3, 31.2, 37.0, 46.8, 74.5, 87.0, 94.0, 95.9, 103.7, 122.8, 129.0, 130.5, 131.3, 131.4, 143.1, 199.9, 200.7. MS (EI) m/z 666 (M+), 638 (M+-CO), 610 (M+-2CO), 582 (M+-3CO), 554 (M+-4CO), 526 (M+-5CO), 498 (M+-6CO). MS (FAB) m/z 610 (M+-2CO), 582 (M+-3CO), 554 (M+-4CO), 526 (M+-5CO), 498 (M+-6CO) HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>36</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Si (M+-4CO): 554.1098, found 554.1082.

Synthesis of cyclization product 39 from 38 in the presence of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid in dichloromethane-toluene (10:1)

Cobalt complex 38 (40 mg, 0.046 mmol) was dissolved in freshly distilled  $CH_2Cl_2$  (40 mL) and PhMe (4 mL), then the mixture was cooled to -15 °C. To this solution, TfOH (20  $\mu$ L, 1.13 mol in  $Cl_2CFCClF_2$ ) was added one portion. After stirring for 10 min, the reaction mixture was poured into an ice-cold saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL), and then extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (×3). The combined organic layers were passed through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and a small amount of silica gel, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative column chromatography (silica gel 20 g, hexane) to give the product 39 (9 mg, 30 %).

Synthesis of 1-(3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-hydroxy-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclo hex-3-en-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether **40** from **37** 

1-(3-(Trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether 37 (851 mg, 0.33 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (25 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. To this solution, Amberlyst 15E (2.6 g, slightly ground) was added and stirred at 0 °C for 6 h. The reaction mixture was filtrated through the pad of Super-Cell® and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 40 g, hexane : ether = 5 : 1) to give 1-(3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-hydroxy-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether 40 (571 mg, 83 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  3304, 2956, 2929, 2897, 2858, 1250 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 0.06 (6H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.19 (3H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.22 (3H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.88 (9H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.20 (3H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.26 (3H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.65 (2H, d, J = 1.0 Hz,  $CH_2$ Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.91 (3H, dt, J = 1.5, 1.2 Hz,  $C(CH_3) = CH$ ), 1.93 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 2.25 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 4.43 (2H, dq, J = 6.9, 1.1 Hz,  $C(CH_3) = CHCH_2$ ), 5.00 (1H, dt, J = 2.0, 1.0 Hz, C = CHH), 5.17 (1H, d, J = 2.0) Hz, C = CHH), 5.83 (1H, tq, J = 6.9, 1.5 Hz,  $C(CH_3) = CH$ ), 5.97 (1H, t, J = 4.0 Hz, C = CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 67.9 MHz) δ -3.2, -3.0, -1.6, 18.4, 23.3, 23.6, 23.9, 25.4, 25.9, 27.8, 32.3, 42.9, 61.5, 73.8, 85.8, 88.0, 90.2, 94.8, 118.7, 121.5, 128.4, 128.5, 133.0, 134.2. MS (EI) m/z 471 (M+), 456 (M+-15). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{28}H_{46}O_{2}Si_{2}$ :  $C_{28}H_{46}O_{2$ 

## Synthesis of acetylene cobalt complex 41 from 40

1-(3-(Trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-hydroxy-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ether **40** (154 mg, 0.33 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (6 mL). To this solution, acetic anhydride (1 mL, 0.011 mmol) and pyridine (1 mL, 0.012 mmol) were subsequently added and the mixture was stirred at rt for 5 h. The reaction mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 7 g, hexane : ether = 20 : 1) to give 1-(3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-acetoxy-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ether (157 mg, 93 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  2957, 2929, 2858, 1745, 1249, 1235 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 0.06 (6H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 0.19 (3H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.21 (3H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.87 (9H, s, SiC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.19 (3H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.26 (3H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.65 (2H, d, J = 1.0 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>), 1.92 (3H, dt, J = 1.5, 1.0 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CH), 1.93 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.06 (3H, s, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.24 (2H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.77 (2H, dbr d, J = 6.9, 1.0 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.00 (1H, dt, J = 2.0, 1.0 Hz, C=CHH), 5.16 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz, C=CHH), 5.74 (1H, tq, J = 6.9, 1.5 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CH), 5.99 (1H, t, J = 4.0 Hz, C=CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 67.9 MHz) δ -3.2, -3.0, -1.6, 18.4, 21.0, 23.4, 24.0, 25.4, 25.9, 27.8, 32.3, 42.9, 63.2, 73.7, 85.4, 88.0, 90.2, 95.5, 118.7, 124.2, 128.3, 128.5, 128.7, 133.3, 170.9. MS (EI) m/z 513 (M+), 498 (M+-15). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>: C, 70.26; H, 9.43. Found C, 70.25; H, 9.50.

To a solution of 1-(3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-acetoxy-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethyl cyclohex-3-en-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether (110 mg, 0.214 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (4 mL),  $Co_2(CO)_8$  (175 mg, 0.511 mmol) was added. After stirring for 2 h at room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 4 g, hexane : ether = 1 : 0 to 20 : 1) to give the product **41** (171 mg, quant.). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  2956, 2930, 2897, 2858, 2088, 2050, 2023, 1746, 1250, 1230 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.05 (6H, s,  $CH_2Si(CH_3)_3$ ), 0.20 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ ), 0.22 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ ), 0.87 (9H, s,  $C(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.27 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.29 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.60 (2H, br d, J = 2.5 Hz,  $CH_2Si(CH_3)_3$ ), 1.90-2.08 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 2.02 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.60 (2H, br d,  $C(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ ), 2.13 (3H, br d,  $C(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3$ ), 2.11 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 4.69 (2H, br d,  $C(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3$ ), 2.13 (3H, br d,  $C(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3$ ), 1.90-2.08 (2H, br d,  $C(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3$ ), 2.13 (3H, br d,  $C(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3$ ), 1.90-2.08 (2H, m,  $C(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_3$ ), 1.90-2.08 (2H, m,  $C(CH_3)_3(CH$ 

123.4, 128.4, 137.8, 140.7, 170.8, 199.7, 199.9 (×2). MS (EI) *m/z* 714 (M+-3CO), 687 (M+-4CO), 659 (M+-5CO), 631 (M+-6CO).

## Synthesis of acetylene cobalt complex 42 from tert-butyldimethylsilylether 40

1-(3-(Trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-hydroxy-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-tert-butyldimethylsilylether 40 (256 mg, 0.544 mmol) was dissolved in THF (12 mL) and cooled to - 78 °C. To this solution, methyl iodide (0.34 mL, 5.44 mmol), which passed through a column containing basic Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, was added one portion, followed by t-BuOK (91.6 mg, 0.816 mmol) portionwise. After stirring for 50 min at -78 °C, the mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C and stirred for a further 10 min. The mixture was treated with ice-cold saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (8 mL) and extracted with ether (×3). The combined organic layers were washed with water (x3) and brine (x1), passed through a column containing sodium sulfate and a small amount of silica gel, then evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 8 g, hexane: ether = 1:0 to 20:1) to give 1-(3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-methoxy-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclohex-3-en-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether (246 mg, 93 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  2956, 2928, 2896, 2857, 1473, 1464, 1250 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ 0.06 (6H, s,  $CH_2Si(CH_3)_3$ ), 0.19 (3H, s,  $SiC(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ ), 0.22 (3H, s,  $SiC(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ ), 0.88 (9H, s,  $SiC(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ , 1.20 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.27 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.65 (2H, d, J = 1.0 Hz,  $CH_2Si(CH_3)_3$ , 1.92 (3H, dt, J = 1.5, 1.1 Hz,  $C(CH_3) = CH$ ), 1.93 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 2.25 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 3.34 (3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.14 (2H, dq, J = 6.5, 1.1 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CHCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.00 (1H, dt, J = 1.9, 0.9 Hz, C=CHH), 5.17 (1H, d, J = 1.9 Hz, C=CHH), 5.74 (1H, tq, J = 6.5, 1.5 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CH), 5.97 (1H, t, J = 4.0 Hz, C=CH).  $^{13}$ C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 67.9 MHz)  $\delta$  -3.1, -3.0, -1.6, 18.4, 23.4, 23.6, 24.0, 25.4, 25.9, 27.8, 32.3, 43.0, 57.9, 70.9, 73.8, 86.0, 88.0, 90.3, 94.6, 118.7, 122.5, 128.5, 128.6, 131.8, 132.8. MS (EI) m/z 485 (M<sup>+</sup>), 470 (M<sup>+</sup>-15). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>48</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>: C, 71.84; H, 9.98. Found C, 72.09; H, 10.28.

To a solution of 1-(3-(trimethylsilyl)but-3-en-1-ynyl)-3-(5-methoxy-3-methylpent-3-en-1-ynyl)-2,2-dimethyl cyclohex-3-en-1-tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether (96 mg, 0.198 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 mL),  $Co_2(CO)_8$  (189 mg, 1.43 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) was added. After stirring for 6 h at room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 4 g, hexane: ether = 1:0 to 20:1) to give the product 42 (146 mg, 96%). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  2957, 2930, 2896, 2858, 2821, 2086, 2049, 2021 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.06 (6H, s,  $CH_2Si(CH_3)_3$ ), 0.21 (3H, s,  $SiC(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ ), 0.22 (3H, s,  $SiC(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ ), 0.88 (9H, s,  $SiC(CH_3)_3(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.28 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.30 (3H, s,  $C(CH_3)_2$ ), 1.62 (2H, br d, J = 2.4 Hz,  $CH_2Si(CH_3)_3$ ), 2.01 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 2.12 (3H, br,  $C(CH_3)$ =CH), 2.32 (2H, m,  $CH_2$ ), 3.32 (3H, s,  $CCH_3$ ), 4.03 (2H, br d,  $CCH_3$ ) = 6.0 Hz,  $CCH_3$ =CHC $H_2$ ), 5.00 (1H, m, C=CHH), 5.15 (1H, d, C=CHH), 5.74 (1H, tm, C=CHH), 5.15 (1H, d, C=CHH), 5.74 (1H, tm, C=CHH), 23.8, 24.7, 25.9, 27.6, 28.5, 32.0, 45.1, 58.2, 69.8, 75.0, 88.1, 90.5, 92.8, 94.8, 118.9, 126.9, 128.5, 131.6, 135.0, 140.7, 199.9 MS (FAB) m2 686 (M+-3CO), 658 (M+-4CO), 630 (M+-5CO), 602 (M+-6CO). MS (EI) m2 714 (M+-2CO), 686 (M+-3CO), 658 (M+-4CO), 630 (M+-5CO), 602 (M+-6CO).

#### Synthesis of cyclization product 39 from 41 in the presence of boron trifluoride diethyl etherate

Cobalt complex 41 (30 mg, 0.038 mmol) was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (38 mL) and the mixture was cooled to -78 °C. To this solution,  $BF_3$ · $OEt_2$  (4.6  $\mu$ L, 0.038 mmol) was added one portion, then the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C. After stirring for 40 min, the reaction mixture was treated with an ice-cold saturated aqueous  $NaHCO_3$  (3 mL), and then extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (×2). The combined organic layers were passed through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and silica gel, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (hexane) to give the product 39 (11 mg, 43 %).

Synthesis of cyclization product 39 from 42 in the presence of boron trifluoride diethyl etherate

Cobalt complex 42 (34 mg, 0.045 mmol) was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (45 mL) and the mixture was cooled to -78 °C. To this solution,  $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$  (5.5  $\mu$ L, 0.045 mmol) was added one portion, then the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C. After stirring for 35 min, the reaction mixture was treated with an ice-cold saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (3 mL), and then extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (×2). The combined organic layers were passed through a column containing anhydrous sodium sulfate and silica gel, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (hexane) to give the product 39 (12 mg, 41 %).

Synthesis of 8,15,15-trimethyl-4-methylenebicyclo[9.3.1]pentadeca-7,9,11(12)-trien-2-yn-1-ol 43 from 39 under reductive decomplexation condition

Cobalt complex **39** (20 mg, 0.030 mmol) was dissolved in 1,4-cyclohexadiene (0.5 mL) To this solution, n-Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH (25  $\mu$ L, 0.093 mmol) and NBS (1 mg, 6  $\mu$ mol) were added, then the reaction mixture was heated to 39 °C. After stirring for 2 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 8 g, hexane) and preparative TLC (hexane) to give bicyclo[9.3.1]pentadecatetraene **43** (5 mg, 41 %). IR (KBr, film)  $v_{max}$  2956, 2929, 2856, 1249 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  0.20 (3H, s, Si{C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.22 (3H, s, Si{C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.90 (9H, s, Si{C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>}(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.11 (3H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.16 (3H, s, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.64 (3H, d, J = 1.5 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CH), 1.71-1.79 (1H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.01-2.18 (3H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.21-2.40 (4H, m, CH<sub>2</sub> ×2), 5.19 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, C=CHH), 5.20 (1H, tq, J = 6.5, 1.5 Hz, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)=CH), 5.23 (1H, d, J = 2.1 Hz, C=CHH), 5.78 (1H, d, J = 11.5 Hz, CH=C-CH=CH), 5.83-5.93 (2H, m, CH=C-CH=CH). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  -3.1, -2.9, 17.0, 18.3, 21.9, 24.3, 24.8, 25.8, 29.8, 32.3, 38.4, 43.5, 74.2, 86.2, 93.4, 120.1, 122.5, 127.8, 128.9, 131.2, 132.4, 134.4, 142.0. MS (EI) m/z 382 (M+), 367 (M-15). HRMS (EI) calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>38</sub>OSi: 382.2692, found 382.2712.

# Acknowledgement

This research was financially supported by a Grant-In-Aids for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture and by RFTF grant from JSPS. S. S. is grateful to JSPS for a Research Fellowships for Young Scientists. S. S. gratefully acknowledges a lot of stimulating discussions with Dr. Yoshiyasu Ichikawa, Dr. Toshio Nishikawa, Dr. Ikuko I. Ohtani and other colleagues in this laboratory. Special thanks are due to Mr. S. Kitamura in Nagoya University for the measurements of elemental analyses and high resolution mass spectra, Mr. K. Koga for NMR and computer.

#### References and Notes

- 1. Fang, W.-S.; Fang, Q.-C.; Liang, X.-T.; Lu, Y.; Zheng, Q.-T. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 8483-8490.
- 2. Fang, W.-S.; Fang, Q.-C.; Liang, X.-T. Planta Med. 1996, 62, 567-569.
- 3. Zamir, L. O.; Zhou, Z. H.; Caron, G.; Nedea, M. E.; Sauriol, F.; Mamer, O. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 529-530.
- 4. Boulanger, Y.; Khiat, A.; Zhou, Z.-H.; Caron, G.; Zamir, L. O. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 8957-8968.
- 5. Rohr, J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 2190-2195, and those references cited therein.
- 6. Wani, M. C.; Taylor, H. L.; Wall, M. E.; Coggon, P.; McPhail, A. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 2325-2327.

- 7. Holton, R. A.; Somoza, C.; Kim, H. -B.; Liang, F.; Biediger, R. J.; Boatman, P. D.; Shindo, M.; Smith, C. C.; Kim, S.; Nadizadeh, H.; Suzuki, Y.; Tao, C.; Vu, P.; Gentile, L. N.; Liu, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 1597-1598.
- 8. Holton, R. A.; Kim, H.-B.; Sozoma, C.; Liang, F.; Biediger, R. J.; Boatman, P. D.; Shindo, M.; Smith, C. C.; Kim, S.; Nadizadeh, H.; Suzuki, Y.; Tao, C.; Vu, P.; Gentile, L. N.; Liu, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 1599-1600.
- 9. Nicolaou, K. C.; Yang, Z.; Liu, J. J.; Ueno, H.; Nantermet, P. G.; Guy, R. K.; Claiborne, C. F.; Renaud, J.; Couladouros, E. A.; Paulvannan, K.; Sorensen, E. J. Nature 1994, 367, 630-634.
- 10. Masters, J. J.; Link, J. T.; Snyder, L. B.; Young, W. B.; Danishefsky, S. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 1723-1726.
- Wender, P. A.; Badham, N. F.; Conway, S. P.; Floreancig, P. E.; Glass, T. E.; Gränicher, C.; Houze, J. B.; Jänichen, J.; Lee, D.; Marquess, D. G.; McGrane, P. L.; Meng, W.; Mucciaro, T. P.; Mühlebach, M.; Natchus, M. G.; Paulsen, H.; Rawlins, D. B.; Satkofsky, J.; Shuker, A. J.; Sutton, J. C.; Taylor, R. E.; Tomooka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 2755-2756.
- 12. Wender, P. A.; Badham, N. F.; Conway, S. P.; Floreancig, P. E.; Glass, T. E.; Houze, J. B.; Krauss, N. E.; Lee, D.; Marquess, D. G.; McGrane, P. L.; Meng, W.; Natchus, M. G.; Shuker, A. J.; Sutton, J. C.; Taylor, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 2757-2758.
- 13. Mukaiyama, T.; Shiina, I.; Iwadare, H.; Sakoh, H.; Tani, Y.; Hasegawa, M.; Saitoh, K. *Proc. Japan Acad.* 1997, 73, Ser. B, 95-100.
- 14. Holton, R. A.; Somoza, C.; Chai, K.-B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 1665-1668.
- 15. Ojima, I.; Habus, I.; Zhao, M. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 1681-1683.
- 16. Shibuya, S.; Isobe, M. Synlett 1998, in press.
- 17. Connor, R. E.; Nicholas, K. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 125, C45-C48.
- 18. Nicholas, K. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 207-214, and references cited therein.
- 19. Di Grandi, M. J.; Jung, D. K.; Krol, W. J.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Org Chem. 1993, 58, 989-4992.
- 20. Barton, D. H. R.; Bashiardes, G.; Fourrey, J.-L., Tetrahedron, 1988, 44, 147-162.
- 21. Cymerman, J.; Heilbron, I. M.; Jones, E. R. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1945, 90-94.
- 22. Smith, J. G.; Drozda, S. E.; Petraglia, S. P.; Quinn, N. R.; Rice, E. M.; Taylor, B. S.; Viswanathan, M. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4112-4120.
- Still, W. C. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3063-3064. Smith, J. G.; Drozda, S. E.; Petraglia, S. P.; Quinn, N. R.; Rice, E. M.; Taylor, B. S.; Viswanathan, M. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4112-4120.
- 24. Sonogashira, K.; Tohda, Y.; Hagihara, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 4467-4470.
- Our laboratory reported the synthesis of 10 using different pathway. Bamba, M.; Nishikawa, T.; Isobe, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 8199-8202.
- 26. Padmanabhan, S.; Nicholas, K. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2555-2558.
- 27. This might be the first example that the acetylene is inert to Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>. The acetylene also resisted hydrogenation in the presence of Lindler catalyst, hydrosilation and hydrostannylation.
- 28. Vinyl iodide 28 was synthesized from tosyl hydrazone 25 directly (n-BuLi, I<sub>2</sub> / THF-TMEDA) with sideproduct that was difficult to separate from 28. After the mixture reacted with fragment 9, the coupling product 29 was able to be purified.
- 29. Schreiber, S. L.; Sammakia, T.; Crowe, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3128-3130.
- 30. Nakamura, T.; Matsui, T.; Tanino, K.; Kuwajima, I. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3032-3033.
- 31. Bisacetylene 36 was treated with 1 equivalent of dicobalt octacarbonyl to give three products; both acetylenes of 36 were produced cobalt complex, one of two acetylenes of 36 was produced cobalt complex, respectively. The ratio of three compounds was almost 1:1:1.

- 32. These improvements are not only because of the leaving groups. The stability of the precursor itself also is important. Compounds 41 and 42 are more stable than 38.
- 33. Hosokawa, S.; Isobe, M. Synlett 1996, 351-352.
- 34. Isobe, M.; Hosokawa, S.; Kira, K. Chem. Lett. 1996, 473-474.
- 35. Hosokawa, S.; Isobe, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, in press.
- 36. exo-Cyclic acetylene cobalt complex was treated with excess NBS in ether solution to give the corresponding original acetylenic compound.